EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                        FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 3
      COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING
      COMMISSION,
 4
                                    ) Case No. 2:19-cv-01697-JAD-DJA
                  Plaintiff,
 5
                                    ) Las Vegas, Nevada
                                    ) January 24, 2020
      vs.
                                    ) 2:53 p.m. - 3:32 p.m.
 6
      DAVID GILBERT SAFFRON a/k/a
                                   ) Courtroom 6D
 7
      DAVID GILBERT and CIRCLE
                                   ) CONTEMPT HEARING
      SOCIETY, CORP.,
 8
                  Defendants.
                                      CERTIFIED COPY
 9
10
11
                   REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JENNIFER A. DORSEY
12
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
13
14
      APPEARANCES:
15
      For the Plaintiff:
           DANIELLE E. KARST, ESQ.
16
           COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION
           Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, N.W.
17
           Washington, D.C. 20581
           (202) 418-6158
18
19
      Also present:
2.0
           DAVID SAFFRON, pro se
21
                         Amber M. McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914
      Court Reporter:
                         United States District Court
22
                         333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Room 1334
                         Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
23
                         AM@nvd.uscourts.gov
24
      Proceedings reported by machine shorthand. Transcript
      produced by computer-aided transcription.
25
```

```
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; FRIDAY, JANUARY 24, 2020; 2:53 P.M.
 1
 2
                                 --000--
                          PROCEEDINGS
 3
 4
               COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: All rise.
 5
               THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please have a seat.
 6
               COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Now's the time set for a
 7
      show cause hearing in Case Number 2:19-cv-1697-JAD-DJA,
 8
     Commodity Futures Trading Commission versus David Gilbert --
     Gilbert Saffron.
 9
10
               Counsel, please state your appearances.
               MS. KARST: Good afternoon. Danielle Karst for the
11
12
     Commodity Futures Trading Commission.
13
              MR. SAFFRON: Good afternoon. David Saffron, pro se.
               THE COURT: Thank you. You can have a seat.
14
15
               All right. So we're here on the order to show cause
16
     why the defendants should not be held in contempt of order for
17
     violating the Court's injunctive relief order. That order
18
     granting that motion for an order to show cause is in the
19
     docket at Number 48. The Commission has provided proof of
2.0
      service at Document Number 49, and it appears that we have
21
     Mr. Saffron here today.
22
               So this is the hearing on that motion for an order to
23
     show cause -- or actually, at this point, it's actually --
24
     it's beyond that. We are at the contempt proceeding stage,
25
     and the question at this point is why the Court should not
```

issue a civil contempt order for violations of the motion for preliminary -- or violations of the preliminary injunction order. So that preliminary injunction order, for the record, is at Document Number 31.

Ms. Karst, I will hear from you.

2.0

MS. KARST: Your Honor, the CFTC has established by clear and convincing evidence that the defendants have violated two valid and lawful orders of this Court; the temporary injunction order dated October 3rd, 2019, for which we never received any documents despite numerous demands, as well as a violation for the -- violations of the preliminary injunction order. We view that as a past and a continuing and ongoing violation for a failure to provide an accounting to the CFTC of each defendant's assets as set forth in the preliminary injunction order and for failure to provide any access to documents for review and for reproduction.

And as Your Honor is aware, the absence of willfulness is not a defense to a charge of civil contempt and substantial, diligent, or good-faith efforts are not enough.

The only issue is compliance.

Today there has been no compliance by defendants.

Each of the defendants has violated this Court's orders repeatedly. It is uncontested that they are in contempt of the Court's orders, and the CFTC moves the Court to find each of them in contempt today.

2.0

2:19-cv-01697-JAD-DJA - January 24, 2020

As noted by the U.S. Supreme Court, the sanction of civil contempt may be employed for either or both of two purposes: One, to coerce the defendant into compliance with the Court's order, and to compensate the complainant for losses sustained.

The CFTC moves this Court today to issue an order that will both coerce defendants to comply with the preliminary injunction order and also to compensate the CFTC for the attorney's fees and expenses incurred in the investigation and prosecution of this contempt. The CFTC is requesting compensatory damages of \$14,351. And unless the defendant is here today with documents and the accounting as ordered by Your Honor, I request that Mr. Saffron be held in custody until he complies.

I understand, based on the Court's instructions at the last hearing, however, the Court may not be inclined to take that step and may wish to begin with fines, as in the case of CFTC versus Emerald Holdings. And if that is the case, then the CFTC would move the Court to issue a contempt order. I provided a proposed order for Your Honor today that mirrors what the Court did in Emerald Worldwide Holdings with a few tweaks to update and reflect the passage of time. I have prepared that order and can submit it to the Court today, or I can electronically file it.

That proposed order, Your Honor, orders defendants to

fully comply with the preliminary injunction order, including making books, records, and documents available for inspection and copying.

Secondly, the proposed order orders defendants to pay a daily compliance fine of \$5,000 beginning and payable as the date of the order.

Third, the proposed order orders defendants to pay to the CFTC compensatory damages of \$14,351.

And, finally, the CFTC proposes that the order orders defendants to provide written responses to the CFTC regarding their virtual currency addresses, and the purpose of that inquiry, Your Honor, is based on my statements at the last hearing that the consent to the release of financial records that was provided by the defendant before the last hearing is essentially useless in this case because the CFTC is not aware of any traditional --

THE COURT: Banks.

2.0

MS. KARST: -- financial or bank account records. So that is why we are proposing that defendant provide written responses to a series of three questions in the proposed order.

And with that, Your Honor, I'm prepared to speak about any of those four provisions, including the fines, the amount of the fines, how that compares with *Emerald Worldwide Holdings*.

THE COURT: A couple things. So you were just 1 2 explaining that you've prepared a proposed order that is 3 consistent with the Emerald Worldwide Holdings case. 4 different than the one that you attached to the original 5 motion? 6 MS. KARST: Yes, Your Honor, it is different. After last -- the last hearing, I did take a close look at the 7 8 approach in Emerald Worldwide Holdings. That case involved a series of three orders. I think, you know, we probably don't 9 10 need three here, but I did model the proposed order that I 11 have prepared for today on the first contempt order in Emerald 12 Worldwide Holdings, but that -- this order also includes the 13 imposition of a daily compliance fine beginning the date of the order. Whereas, Emerald, there was first the contempt 14 15 order which referenced a possible daily compliance fine, and 16 then there was a second order. 17 So I think that, given where we are and given that 18 this is the third hearing on the orders, I'm proposing that we 19 skip one of the emerald steps and have one order that goes 2.0 forth setting daily compliance fines beginning as of the date 21 of the order. 22 THE COURT: Do you have a copy of that for me? 23 MS. KARST: Yes, Your Honor, I do. 24 THE COURT: Would you approach? 25 MS. KARST: Yes.

2.0

2:19-cv-01697-JAD-DJA - January 24, 2020

THE COURT: You've indicated that there are three questions that you would want him to respond to or the defendants to respond to.

MS. KARST: Yes, Your Honor. Those questions appear on pages 5 and 6 of the proposed order, and this is Section C(1) (f) of the proposed order.

THE COURT: All right. So those questions are:

- 1. Have you held or controlled any virtual currency addresses, including possessing the private keys for any virtual currency address that has -- had a balance greater than zero in the past two years? If so, identify the address, provide the corresponding private key for any virtual currency address, and provide the date on which you acquired that private key.
- 2. Have you participated in or directed any virtual currency transactions in the past two years? If so, identify the dates, currency quantity, and your involved virtual currency addresses.
- 3. Identify all persons and/or entities to whom you have transferred virtual currency beginning from December 2017 to the date of this order, the date of each transfer, and provide the public keys and public addresses associated with said transfers as well as the private keys you used to effect each transfer.

Are those the questions?

MS. KARST: Yes, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Talk to me about -- let me hear 3 further argument with respect to the amount of a daily fine 4 that you are asking for. 5 MS. KARST: Yes, Your Honor. The CFTC is requesting 6 today a 5,000-dollar daily fine, and I will note for the 7 record that this case involves a much larger fraud than 8 Emerald Worldwide Holdings. Emerald Worldwide Holdings, Your Honor, that was a fraud of under \$5 million. I also note 9 10 that that case -- the -- the order in that case was from 2004. 11 So that -- that is roughly 16 years ago. The Emerald order 12 imposed fines against the individual defendant and against the 13 company. 14 So we believe, given where we are today, defendants' 15 ongoing failure to comply despite repeated demands, that a 16 fine of \$5,000 per day is appropriate here. 17 I'll also note, Your Honor, the preliminary 18 injunction order was entered December 6th, 2019. The CFTC has 19 made repeated demands, and there has been no compliance. 2.0 that is a -- that's over a month. And I am not requesting for 21 fines going back for that, covering that period of time. I'm 22 only asking for fines beginning the date of Your Honor's 23 order. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Karst. 24 25 Mr. Saffron, you've not responded to the motion or to

the -- you haven't filed anything in response to the order to 1 2 show cause. You are here today, however. Do you have a 3 response? 4 MR. SAFFRON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm here on my own 5 recognizance today. I've had extremely bad counsel up to this 6 point. They've given me bad advice as to what to do in this 7 case. I'm here to find a solution for this with yourself and 8 the prosecutor. I'd like to recover everyone's outlay, pay 9 everyone's outlay back, the original investment back, and come 10 to an amicable solution. 11 THE COURT: Do you deny at this point that you are in 12 violation of that preliminary injunction order? 13 MR. SAFFRON: I haven't had any -- my advice from 14 counsel's been very bad, and I want to seek counsel and -- and 15 get someone but the TRO, as I understand it, doesn't allow me 16 to engage counsel. 17 THE COURT: That's not true at all. MR. SAFFRON: That's what I was advised. 18 19 THE COURT: No. No. You absolutely have a right to 2.0 hire your own attorney. There were attorneys who had claimed 21 that they were hired on your behalf, and then that they withdrew from representing you a few hearings ago. 22 23 You've been served with all of the developments in 24 this case. I think you absolutely should get counsel. But --25 and, in fact, your -- the company, Circle Society Corp,

can't -- you can't represent it in court because it's a 1 2 separate entity, and individuals like you can't represent a 3 corporation if you're not an attorney. 4 So certainly Circle Society would -- would need to --5 you could represent yourself, as you are today. You have the 6 legal right to represent yourself. But, of course, you have 7 the ability to obtain counsel. I don't know who would have 8 advised you of that, but that's entirely untrue. But nevertheless, here we are. We're months into 9 10 this. I think is this the fourth time, Ms. Karst, that you've been out here? 11 12 MS. KARST: Yes, Your Honor, at least the fourth. THE COURT: 13 I think it's the fourth hearing. 14 So we've -- we're kind of far down this river at this 15 point, and what I'm -- what I'm considering at this point is 16 whether to impose civil contempt sanctions on you and on 17 Circle Society for failing to comply with the pre -- the 18 preliminary injunction order. 19 Do you have -- other than what you've already 2.0 indicated to me, do you have anything else to argue on your 21 behalf or against the imposition of civil sanctions? 22 MR. SAFFRON: I have records for Circle Society and 23 myself for all the clients that were part of the company. I 24 had those in a storage facility that was broken into in 25 December. I don't have the police report on me. I had given

that to a previous attorney that had came -- came in here, but he left and just didn't do anything and never gave me back my records. I have been rebuilding those records. I would require at least two weeks to reconstruct them. I really do want to find a solution sincerely with -- with you and -- and the prosecutor. I want to work with them and -- and make everyone whole.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. SAFFRON: You're welcome.

THE COURT: Ms. Karst?

2.0

And you can have a seat, sir.

MS. KARST: I didn't hear any substantial justification or -- he claims that his storage locker was broken into, but I -- there's no evidence here of either a present inability to comply or substantial compliance. So Mr. Saffron and Circle Society are -- are in contempt of Your Honor's orders.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. Here's what I'm going to do. I'm going to grant the motion for civil contempt, but I'm going to build a little -- a very small window into that. In a sense what I will be doing is giving you that 14 days to fully comply with all the terms of the preliminary injunction order and also provide some additional information, including the answers to those questions that I identified. You'll need to provide

1 written responses to the CFTC under oath to those questions, 2 which I will identify in my -- in the order. 3 Let me ask you, Mr. Saffron. You're here today. 4 What is your service address? Where -- where shall I have the 5 Court direct service if -- of documents to you now that you've 6 appeared here on your own behalf? 7 MR. SAFFRON: Currently, Your Honor, I am under a lot 8 of duress from threats online. THE COURT: Um-hum. 9 10 MR. SAFFRON: I have a USB key with literally tens of 11 thousands that I can give you. I -- I will write my address 12 down, and I can hand it to you, but I don't really want to say 13 it loud in Court because there are people here who could be taking notes as to my address. And I'm -- I've been, like, 14 15 threatened. My life has been threatened. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SAFFRON: I have no problem giving it to you. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So -- and I think the CFTC needs 19 to have it, too --2.0 MR. SAFFRON: I can give it to them, too. 21 THE COURT: -- so that they can serve you. And 22 before you leave this courthouse today, you need to stop 23 downstairs at the Clerk's Office and ensure that they have the 24 correct service information for you. 25 MR. SAFFRON: Yeah.

THE COURT: Or, Danielle, could be maybe... 1 2 All right. Before you leave here, you need to speak 3 to Danielle and provide her with that information, and she's 4 able to update that for you. Okay? So you don't have to go 5 down to the Clerk's Office for that. You can just speak with 6 Danielle after this hearing. 7 MR. SAFFRON: There's another -- the CFTC had a list 8 of addresses on their original complaint. THE COURT: Um-hum. 9 10 MR. SAFFRON: They're all wrong. So, I mean, one of 11 them's my parents' house. One of them was my sister's house 12 from five years ago. Another one was a park in Australia. 13 Another two were Airbnb properties. THE COURT: Okay. 14 15 MR. SAFFRON: So this is the only address I'm at. 16 And the 27 e-mail addresses, I don't recognize any of them. 17 I'm going to give them my current e-mail address. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 19 MR. SAFFRON: You're welcome. 2.0 THE COURT: You can sit down. 21 MR. SAFFRON: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: All right. For the record, I'm going to 23 grant the motion for civil contempt, and I'll outline the 24 details of that momentarily. Before I do that, I want to 25 state on the record the legal premise for this decision.

2.0

2:19-cv-01697-JAD-DJA - January 24, 2020

A Court's contempt powers are broadly divided into two categories: Civil contempt and criminal contempt. The difference between the two is not always clear because the same conduct may result in citations for both civil and criminal contempt.

Appellate courts distinguish between criminal and civil contempt by looking to the sanction's character and purpose.

The purpose of civil contempt is coercive or compensatory. Whereas, the purpose of criminal contempt is punitive. Because criminal contempt is a crime in the ordinary course, criminal penalties may not be imposed on someone who has not been afforded the protections that the Constitution requires of such criminal proceedings.

In contrast, civil contempt sanctions are those penalties designed to compel future compliance with a court order, are considered to be coercive and avoidable for obedience, and, thus, may be imposed in an ordinary civil proceeding upon notice and an opportunity to be heard, which we have here. Neither a jury trial nor proof beyond a reasonable doubt is required.

The standard for finding a party in civil contempt is well settled. The moving party has the burden of showing by clear and convincing evidence the contemnors violated a specific and definite order of the Court. The burden then

2.0

2:19-cv-01697-JAD-DJA - January 24, 2020

shifts to the contemnors to demonstrate why they were unable to comply.

A Court may wield its civil contempt powers for two separate and independent purposes. One, to coerce the defendant into compliance with the Court's order. And, two, to compensate the complainant for losses sustained. Because they're remedial, civil compensatory sanctions typically take the form of unconditional monetary sanctions. Civil coercive sanctions, however, are intended to deter, so they generally take the form of conditional fines. The ability to purge the contemptuous behavior, i.e. to avoid or reduce the sanction by complying with the underlying order, is perhaps the most definitive character of civil contempt.

A Court's power to impose coercive civil contempt depends on the ability of the contemnor to comply with the coercive order, something that may change over time.

Generally, if a civil contempt order is coercive in nature, it is mooted when the proceeding out of which it arises terminates. This means that, once an injunction has been terminated, a court may still award compensation to the plaintiff as a result of injuries caused by its opponent's disobedience but a coercive sanction would no longer serve any purpose. Once the injunction has expired, there's no longer anything left to coerce. Instead, enforcing the sanctions could only serve to punish. Thus, in cases where the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2:19-cv-01697-JAD-DJA - January 24, 2020

underlying proceeding has been rendered moot, the coercive contempt proceeding must be vacated to avoid a due process violation.

Although we had a TRO in place and that is no longer in place, we have replaced that now with a preliminary injunction order that has been served. That is at ECF Number 40.

The order granting that motion was entered in early December. The Commission filed proof that on December 9th, 2019, it served each defendant with a copy of that order and minutes from our December 2nd hearing. Among other things, the order provides that within five business days following service of that order, Mr. Saffron and Circle Society were required to provide the Commission with a full and truthful accounting of their assets. The order also provided that defendants must immediately, upon the Commission's request, allow its representatives to inspect the books, records, and other documents of defendants and their agents relating or interfering to the defendants' business activities or business or personal finances, and to copy those documents, data, or records. The Commission has shown that it demanded that the defendants comply with these provisions and that compliance has not occurred.

The Commission has, thus, established by clear and convincing evidence that the defendants have violated the

2.0

2:19-cv-01697-JAD-DJA - January 24, 2020

provisions in the injunctive relief order requiring them to provide the Commission with a full and truthful accounting of their assets and permit the Commission to inspect and copy their business and financial records. So I grant the motion for a civil contempt order at Document Number 40.

What remains to be determined are what sanctions are appropriate and likely to coerce the defendants into complying with the injunctive relief order. I have reviewed U.S. District Judge Howard Matz's order granting the Commission's motion for civil contempt in CFTC versus Emerald Worldwide Holdings, Inc. The cite for that, it's a Central District of California case from 2004. It was Case Number 03-cv-8339.

In that case, the Commission brought a civil contempt motion hoping to coerce the defendants to comply with an injunctive relieve order requiring them to, among other things, provide an accounting of their foreign assets and permit the Commission to inspect or copy their books and records. I find that the form and nature of the sanctions that Judge Matz ordered in *Emerald Worldwide* are a suitable course for similar violations in this case.

What that means here is that I will enter a separate written order granting the motion for civil contempt. It will be called a contempt order directing that the defendants fully comply with all terms and conditions of the Court's December 6th, 2019, order granting the motion for preliminary

2.0

2:19-cv-01697-JAD-DJA - January 24, 2020

injunction and other equitable relief; make all of their business and financial records available to the Commission to inspect; provide the Commission with a full accounting of assets; provide answers to the three questions that the Commission has identified and that I have now read on the record; and also file with the Court a sworn affidavit or declaration under penalty of perjury stating with specificity the manner in which each defendant has complied with the terms of the contempt -- or, I'm sorry, of the order.

So I will do that by a separate order.

Because the defendant, Mr. Saffron, is here today, he has indicated that he intends to fully comply now with the motion for preliminary -- the order granting the motion for preliminary injunction and cooperate with the CFTC. He has indicated he has some records but is reconstructing others and needs two weeks to complete that. I am going to give a 14-day window here to have full compliance with the terms of the preliminary injunction order and also the other aspects that I've identified here.

If compliance is not accomplished and fully achieved after 14 days, at that point monetary fines, daily fines of \$1,000 per day will be imposed and will start to be assessed unless and until the defendants comply with all terms and conditions of the contempt order.

So we have a 14-day window to get this all taken care

```
of, and if this doesn't happen within 14 days, it's going to
 1
 2
      be a thousand dollars per day as a coercive fine on top of
 3
      that.
 4
               MS. KARST: Your Honor, may the CFTC inquire about
 5
      its request for compensatory damages?
 6
               THE COURT: Um-hum. So what I'm going to ask the
 7
      CFTC to do with respect to that -- because I haven't seen an
 8
      affidavit from -- from counsel, I -- I will certainly
 9
      entertain that, but I would ask that you file a specific
10
      request for an additional compensatory civil sanction with
11
      appropriate support.
12
               MS. KARST: I have a worksheet, Your Honor, of those
13
      fees and costs, and I can prepare a declaration.
14
               Would you like a formal motion as well?
15
               THE COURT: Please. I think it can be relatively
16
      simple, though, just referring back to the other authority
17
      that you have identified in your prior motions for contempt.
18
               MS. KARST: And that -- so that would be separate and
19
      apart from --
2.0
               THE COURT:
                          Yes.
21
               MS. KARST:
                          -- any order issued today or shortly
22
      thereafter?
23
               THE COURT:
                          Correct.
24
               MS. KARST: I would also inquire, Your Honor, whether
25
      the Court would be -- would like to inquire if Mr. Saffron is
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2:19-cv-01697-JAD-DJA - January 24, 2020

violating the injunctive provisions of the preliminary injunction order. We have reason to believe that he may be continuing to solicit customers and accepting funds from members of the public that would be in direct violation of the preliminary injunction order provisions on page 8, and I would ask the Court to make an inquiry about whether or not the defendant is continuing to solicit funds for new 2020 plans or plans called the Millennium Group. I've seen -- heard from customers that the defendant is continuing to solicit. So the CFTC has serious concerns about ongoing violations of the order separate and apart from the defendant's failure to provide any documents or an accounting. THE COURT: I understand that. Those were additional terms that I was imposing. The entire order must be complied with. Mr. Saffron, do you have -- you've -- I know that you've been provided with a copy of the order. You've been served with a copy of the order. Do you have it with you? Are you familiar with its terms? MR. SAFFRON: I know the lawyer previously did have them, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. SAFFRON: And I've requested it from him, but he hasn't given it to me. THE COURT: All right. Danielle, would you do me a

favor and print out the order granting the motion for preliminary injunction? It is Document 31.

2.0

COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to hand that to you in just a minute, Mr. Saffron. I recommend that you get yourself familiar with that document and ensure that you comply with it. As Ms. Karst was just indicating, it has some significant provisions that prevent you from continuing such business activities that are the basis of this case. Do you understand that?

MR. SAFFRON: Yes, Your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. While we're getting a copy of that, I wanted to address one other thing. The -- you have filed, Mr. Saffron, an answer in this case. Unfortunately, when your answer arrived here, it arrived later in the same day that the Court had already entered -- the Clerk of Court had already entered your default because your answer was beyond the deadline, and the Court had entered default against you. Then your answer showed up here and got filed.

In order to file an answer and defend against the CFTC's allegations in their complaint, you'll first need to move to set aside the default that the Clerk has entered against you. That's the first step that you will need to do. Because your answer won't be considered.

The CFTC has filed a motion to strike your answer

because it was entered after -- it was entered after the 1 2 default was entered. And because of the -- just the timing of 3 that, their motion is well taken, and I'm granting their 4 motion to strike your answer. 5 So if you want to defend against the allegations, you 6 personally can file an answer, but first you're going to have 7 to successfully move the Court to set aside the Clerk's entry 8 of default. Does that make sense to you? 9 10 MR. SAFFRON: It makes sense. Can I do this myself, 11 or do I need to hire counsel? 12 THE COURT: Look, I always recommend that litigants 13 hire counsel because federal court is complex, but you are 14 able to do that motion on your own. You may represent 15 yourself to do that, but hiring a lawyer who understands the 16 process is probably a better plan. 17 Circle Society, to the extent it wants to respond --18 and I don't think Circle Society has answered -- would need a 19 lawyer to do that for it. You can't act on Circle Society's 2.0 behalf as a lawyer under the federal statute. 21 MR. SAFFRON: As a director of the company, can I pay 22 for that lawyer also, or -- am I allowed to pay for it with 23 the restrictions of the TRO? THE COURT: I don't know. I -- I haven't examined 24 25 it. And so by the -- just so you're clear, we're not on the

TRO anymore. The TRO's done. What we're on is the 1 2 preliminary injunction, which you've just been handed. 3 MR. SAFFRON: Oh. Okay. 4 THE COURT: So you'll need to familiarize yourself 5 with those terms. I would suggest, if you're interested in 6 hiring a lawyer, you do so immediately so that they can help 7 advise you. 8 Probably communicating with the CFTC while counsel is 9 here in Vegas is a good idea also so that you can work on a 10 schedule for providing all the documents and other information 11 necessary to comply with the terms of the preliminary 12 injunction. 13 And I expect, if not today, it will be first thing 14 Monday that we will enter the contempt order. But I've 15 granted the motion, and the terms of that will be more clearly 16 detailed in the contempt order. 17 But going back to the motion to strike the answer, 18 that motion is at Document Number 45 and I'm granting that 19 just so that you fully understand where that's at right now. 2.0 Okay? 21 MR. SAFFRON: Okay, Your Honor. 22 **THE COURT:** Do you have any other questions? 23 MR. SAFFRON: Yes. Okay. So I can hire a lawyer 24 myself; that's fine. The Circle Society, we don't know if I 25 can hire the lawyer myself.

```
THE COURT: I don't know. I'm assuming you're sort
 1
 2
      of asking can you use funds --
               MR. SAFFRON: Yes.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: -- that are -- and I don't know the
 5
      answer to that.
 6
               MR. SAFFRON: Okav. How should I hand these
 7
      documents to the CFTC? Via e-mail? Do I have to bring them
 8
      in here in 14 days?
               THE COURT: Ms. Karst?
 9
10
               MS. KARST: The CFTC would request copies of the
11
      documents. If any are electronic, then I request that you
12
      send those to us. We can send you a File Transfer Protocol
13
      link, and you can send them that way. To the extent there are
14
      paper documents, we need paper hard copies of those documents.
15
               MR. SAFFRON: Okay. All the documents are
16
      electronic, so...
17
               THE COURT: Okay. So after this hearing you can
18
      speak with Ms. Karst, and she can provide you the information
19
      so that you can maintain that connection and you can send
2.0
      those documents to the CFTC.
21
               I'm also going to order, Mr. Saffron, that you
22
      provide to Ms. Karst your current e-mail and mailing address,
23
      which they will maintain confidentially at this point.
24
               MS. KARST: Yes, Your Honor, we will.
25
               THE COURT:
                           Do you have any other questions, sir?
```

```
MR. SAFFRON: No. That's fine, Your Honor.
 1
                                                            Thank
 2
      you.
 3
               THE COURT:
                          All right. Ms. Karst?
 4
               MS. KARST:
                          I have a couple quick points, Your Honor.
 5
      Is there a deadline for the defendant to file a motion to set
 6
      aside the default? Do we have a hard deadline for that?
 7
               THE COURT: Is there a hard deadline for that? What
 8
      are you suggesting, Ms. Karst?
 9
               MS. KARST: I'm asking that we place some sort of
10
      deadline on it. Circle Society is already in default.
11
      did not indicate, as they were ordered to do, they intended to
12
      get new counsel. That deadline has -- was December 23rd --
               THE COURT:
13
                           I think I was unclear. What date would
14
      you suggest, Ms. Karst?
15
               MS. KARST:
                          Five days.
16
               THE COURT:
                          Since he does not yet have counsel, I'm
17
      going to put that one out 20 days. So a deadline 20 days to
18
      file -- to move to set aside the default and file an answer.
19
      Okay? Twenty days for that.
2.0
               I certainly understand CFTC's urgency in this because
21
      this has drug -- drug out a bit, and they've been trying to
22
      get this level of compliance for a while.
23
               I'm certainly pleased that it seems, Mr. Saffron,
24
      that you are inclined to cooperate with CFTC at this point,
25
      and so hopefully they will be able to make significant
```

progress on those demands and we won't need further court 1 2 action. 3 Nevertheless, you've got 20 days if you want to move 4 to set aside that default and file an answer --5 MR. SAFFRON: Okay. 6 **THE COURT:** -- okay? 7 MR. SAFFRON: So 20 days to do that, but then 14 days 8 to comply with the record? THE COURT: Yes. 9 10 MR. SAFFRON: Okay. All right. Okay. THE COURT: Yes. 11 12 Any other points, Ms. Karst? 13 MS. KARST: Yes, Your Honor. One more thing on the preliminary injunction. In addition to the injunctive 14 15 provisions preventing defendant from soliciting or accepting 16 funds from members of the public, there's also a continuing 17 asset freeze. Those provisions are on page 4 of the order, and the asset freeze has been continued from the TRO. It is 18 19 ongoing now. The CFTC has concerns that the defendants are 2.0 spending customer funds, and to the extent the defendants' 21 funds are derived from or related to the activities alleged in 22 the complaint, those funds would be covered. 23 So the CFTC wants to state on the record we have 24 serious concerns that defendants are violating the injunctive 25 provisions of the preliminary injunction order, as well as the

```
1
     ongoing asset freeze.
 2
              THE COURT: I understand that and that's noted. And
     Mr. Saffron has a copy of the order. He has been directed to
 3
     familiarize himself with it and to further communicate with
 4
 5
     CFTC and you to ensure compliance.
 6
              Any other questions, Mr. Saffron, before I adjourn
 7
     this hearing today?
 8
              MR. SAFFRON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
              THE COURT: All right. All right. Thank you,
 9
10
     everyone.
11
              I recommend that you probably have a conversation
12
     before you leave. And if you wouldn't mind, Mr. Saffron, as
13
     soon as we're done with the hearing, if you would approach
14
     Danielle and provide her with your contact information.
15
              MR. SAFFRON: Okay.
16
              THE COURT: Thank you. We're adjourned.
17
              MS. KARST:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
18
          (Proceedings adjourned at 3:32 p.m.)
19
                                --000--
                      COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2.0
21
          I, AMBER M. McCLANE, Official Court Reporter, United
     States District Court, District of Nevada, Las Vegas, Nevada,
22
     do hereby certify that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 753 the
      foregoing is a true, complete, and correct transcript of the
23
     proceedings had in connection with the above-entitled matter.
     DATED: 2/10/2020
                               24
25
```